

VIA FIRST CLASS MAIL

NOV 1 0 2010

N. Paul Devereaux, Treasurer Mark Reed for Congress 9354 West Hillrose Street Shadow Hills, CA 91040

RE: MUR 6321

Dear Mr. Devereaux:

On July 8, 2010, the Federal Election Commission notified you of a complaint filed against Mark Reed for Congress and you, as treasurer, alleging violations of certain sections of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended ("Act"). On November 4, 2010 based upon the information contained in the complaint, and information provided by you, the Commission decided to dismiss the complaint and close its file in this matter.

The Commission encourages you to review the General Counsel's Report, which sets forth the statutory and regulatory provisions considered by the Commission in this matter. A copy of the dispositive General Counsel's Report is enclosed for your information and future reference. The Commission reminds you, pursuant to 2 U.S.C. § 434(a)(2)(A)(i), concerning the timely filing of financial disclosure reports, to take steps to ensure that your conduct is in compliance with the Aet and Commission regulations. For further information on the Act, please refer to the Commission's website at www.fec.gov or contact the Commission's Public Information Division at (202) 694-1100.

Documents related to the case will be placed on the public record within 30 days. *See* Statement of Policy Regarding Disclosure of Closed Enforcement and Related Files, 68 Fed. Reg. 70,426 (Dec. 18, 2003).

If you have any questions, please contact Kim Collins, the paralegal assigned to this matter, at (202) 694-1650.

Sincerely,

Christopher Hughey

Acting General Counsel

BY: Jeff S. Jordan

Supervisory Attorney

Complaints Examination and

Legal Administration

Enclosure
General Counsel's Report

cc:

South Pasadena, CA 91030

FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION

	1	BEFORE THE FEDERAL	ELECTION COMMISSION	
	2		2010 OCT 22 AM 11: 05	
	3	In the Matter of) and 22 Anii: 05	
	4) CELA	
	5	MUR 6321) CASE CLOSURE UNDER THE CELA	
	6	Mark Reed for Congress and) ENFORCEMENT PRIORITY SYSTEM	
	7	Norman Paul Devereaux, as Treasurer		
	8	Mark Steven Reed	SENSITIVE	
	9 10	GENERAL COL	UNSEL'S REPORT	
29	11	1 Under the Enforcement Priority System, matters that are low-rated		
100442826	12		: are	
	13	forwarded to the Commission with a recommendation for dismissal. The Commission has		
	14	determined that pursuing low-rated matters, compared to other higher-rated matters on the		
	15	Enforcement docket, warrants the exercise of its prosecutorial discretion to dismiss these cases.		
	16	The Office of General Counsel scored MUR 6321 as a low-rated matter.		
	17	In this matter, the complainant alleges that Mark Steven Reed, Mark Reed for Congress		
	18	and Norman Paul Devereaux, in his official capacity as treasurer (collectively "the		
	19	Committee"), violated the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended ("Act"), by		
	20	failing to file disclosure reports, in accordance with 2 U.S.C. §§ 434(a) and (b) and 11 C.F.R.		
	21	§ 104.5(a). Specifically, the complaint alleges that the Committee received or made more than		
	22	\$5,000 in contributions or disbursements, but	failed to file a single disclosure report, despite	
	23	conducting an active and expensive advertising campaign. The complaint also alleges that the Committee produced and distributed, at public		
	24			
	25	events, two flyers that promoted Mr. Reed's ca	andidacy, which failed to include disclaimer	

The complaint names Mark Steven Reed, Sr. as treasurer; however, the Committee's Statement of Organization lists Norman Paul Devereaux as treasurer and Mark Steven Reed, Sr. as assistant treasurer.

Mr. Devereaux submitted the Committee's response to the complaint. Mark Reed is a candidate for Congress in California's 27th Congressional District.

information stating who had paid for them, in apparent violation of 2 U.S.C. § 441d(a) and

2 11 C.F.R. §§ 110.11(a) and (b)(1).

In support of these allegations, the complainant points to statements made by the Committee on its website, in which the Committee claims to have purchased 11 poster-sized billboard spaces and states that, "[w]e were able to get the billboard produced and most of the eleven locations paid for thanks to the kind support of many of those who have visited this [web]site." The complainant attaches a copy of the Committee's web page discussing the billboard advertisements and a copy of the Committee's solicitation postcard. The complainant contends that the vendor who sold the Committee the 11 billboard spaces, CBS Outdoor, has confirmed the purchase, and provides a copy of the vendor's rate card to demonstrate that the cost of the bulletin board advertisements would have exceeded \$5,000.

In further support of his allegation that the Committee failed to provide proper disclaimers, the complainant attaches copies of what are alleged to be two flyers that were distributed by the Committee at public events. The two flyers advocate for the election of Reed and include the phrase "www.MarkReedforCongress.com," but do not indicate who paid for or authorized the advertisement.

The respundents assert that the Committee did not raise or spend in excess of \$5,000 until the second quarter of 2010. Though the Committee admits that its 2010 Pre-Primary Report was filed untimely, and also claims that the late filing was due to the treasurer's "ignorance," it nevertheless asserts that its July Quarterly Report was timely filed, on July 15, 2010, and that both reports contained all requisite disclosures.

The Act states that an individual becomes a candidate for federal office when his or her campaign either receives or makes contributions or expenditures aggregating in excess of \$5,000. 2 U.S.C. § 431(2). The Act further provides that the principal campaign committee for

a candidate for the House of Representatives must file a pre-election report, no later than the

- 2 12th day before any election in which the candidate is seeking election or nomination, and
- 3 quarterly reports no later than the 15th day after the last day of each calendar quarter. 2 U.S.C.
- 4 §§ 434(a)(2)(A)(i) and (iii).

Though its 2010 Pre-Primary Report was admittedly filed late, the disclosure reports filed by the Committee indicate that the Committee did not make or receive in excess of \$5,000 in receipts or expenditures until the second quarter of 2010, and that no other disclosures were due at the time of the complaint. Moreover, the July 15, 2010 Quarterly Report was timely filed and, according to the response, all appropriate receipts and expenditures were disclosed and are now a matter of public record.

The complainant alleges that the Committee failed to include appropriate disclaimers on its flyers. The Committee did not address the disclaimer allegations in its response. Political committee campaign materials that require disclaimers include, *inter alia*, newspapers, magazines, mailings, or other types of general public political advertising. See 2 U.S.C. § 441d(a) and 11 C.F.R. § 110.11(a). Based on the available information, we are unable to determine the complete scope and manner in which the flyers, which were alleged to have been distributed by the Committee, were disseminated. We note, however, that the Committee's 2010 Pre-Primary Report reflects an expenditure totaling \$350 for the purpose of "[p]rinting paper fliers." This expenditure may indicate that the production of the flyers was limited. Additionally, the allegations in the complaint provide that the flyers were "handed out" at events, which further shows that the distribution of the flyers could have also been limited. Thus, given the seemingly limited nature of both the production and distribution of the flyers, they may not have been subject to the disclaimer requirements as set forth in 2 U.S.C. § 441d(a) and 11 C.F.R. § 110.11(a).

1 In light of the fact that the Committee has filed its required disclosure reports, along with 2 the apparent limited scope of the flyers' production and dissemination, and in furtherance of the 3 Commission's priorities and resources relative to other matters pending on the Enforcement 4 docket, the Office of General Counsel believes that the Commission should exercise its 5 prosecutorial discretion and dismiss this matter. See Heckler v. Chaney, 470 U.S. 821 (1985). 6 Additionally, this Office would plan to remind Mark Reed for Congress and Norman Paul Devereaux, in his official capacity as treasurer, of the requirements under 2 U.S.C. § 434(a)(2)(A)(i), concerning the timely filing of its financial disclosure reports. RECOMMENDATIONS The Office of General Counsel recommends that the Commission dismiss MUR 6321, 11 close the file, and approve the appropriate letters. Additionally, this Office recommends 12 reminding Mark Reed for Congress and Norman Paul Devereaux, in his official capacity as 13 treasurer, of the requirements under 2 U.S.C. § 434(a)(2)(A)(i), concerning the timely filing of its financial disclosure reports. 14 15 Christopher Hughey Acting General Counsel 16 17 18 19 20 21 BY: Gregory R. Baker Special Counsel 22 23 **Complaints Examination** & Legal Administration 24 25 26 27 Jeff S. Jordan 28

Supervisory Attorney 29 30 31

Complaints Examination & Legal Administration

Case Closure under EPS—MUR 6321 General Counsel's Report Page 5

Camilla Jackson Jones Attorney